EXHIBIT A

| SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGS | Index No. 24693/C |
|---|--|
| JOHN CLOUGHER and DENNIS STEIN, individually and on behalf of all persons similarly situated, | SUMMONS AND CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT |
| Plaintiffs, | |
| -against- | Plaintiffs designate Kings County as the place of trial |
| HOME DEPOT U.S.A, INC., | Venue is based on C.P.L.R. § 503(a) |
| Defendant. | Plaintiffs resides in Kings County |
| To the above named Defendant: | t |

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED, to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons to serve a Notice of Appearance, on the Plaintiff's Attorney(s) within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Date: August 16, 2006

SQUITTERI & FBARON, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Defendants' addresses: Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. 2455 Pace Ferry Road, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Lee Squitteri 32 Bast 57 Street New York, New York 10022

(212) 421-6492

See Aug 16 Fill 4: 55

Exhibit B

| COUNTY OF KINGS | V YORK. | |
|---|---------|------------------------|
| JOHN CLOUGHER and DENNIS STEIN, Individually and on behalf of all Persons similarly situated, | : : | Index No.: 24693/06 |
| Plaintiffs, | : : | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT |
| -against- | : | |
| HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., | : | JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
| Defendant. | : : | |
| | X | · |

Plaintiffs, John Clougher and Dennis Stein, by their attorneys, as and for their complaint against Defendant named herein, allege as follows upon information and belief:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiffs allege, on behalf of themselves and other similarly situated current and former Merchandising Assistant Store Managers ("MASMs") of the Defendant, that under New York labor laws they are entitled to be paid for all hours spent working and to receive overtime pay for all hours worked over 40 hours per work week. Plaintiffs allege that during the relevant times described herein Defendant, Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. ("Home Depot") had a pattern and practice carried out on a statewide basis of deliberately misclassifying Plaintiffs and other members of the Class as "exempt" employees for the purpose of State overtime laws in order to save millions of dollars in employee compensation by requiring Plaintiffs and members of the Class to work in excess of 40 hours per work week without paying time-and-a-half for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours.

2. This action is brought as a class action pursuant to the New York Labor Law §§650, et seq. and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations. Through their class-wide claims, Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief, compensation and credit for all uncompensated work required, suffered, or permitted by Defendant, liquidated damages as permitted by applicable law, restitution and disgorgement of all benefits Defendant obtained from its unlawful business practices, and attorneys' fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Venue in this action properly lies in this district based upon CPLR §503(a), as
 Plaintiff, John Clougher, resides in this district.
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over Home Depot pursuant to subsections 1 and 2 of CPLR §302(a) because Defendant (i) transacts business within the state and/or contracts elsewhere to provide services within the state; and (ii) committed the violations complained of herein within the state.
- 5. This suit is brought under the New York Law §§650 et seq. and other legal theories to recover damages and obtain equitable and other relief, including the costs of suit as well as reasonable attorney fees and expert fees, for the damages sustained by Plaintiff and the putative class as a result of Defendant's willful acts and omissions in violation of the New York Labor Law and other laws.

THE PARTIES

6. Plaintiff John Clougher is a former employee of Defendant Home Depot, who resides in Brooklyn, New York, County of Kings. Within the applicable period of limitations prior to the commencement of this action, Clougher was employed by Defendant in the position of MASM and was unlawfully deprived of wages due and owing to him by Defendant.

- 7. Plaintiff Dennis Stein is a former employee of Defendant Home Depot, who resides in East Islip, New York, county of Suffolk. Within the applicable period of limitations prior to the commencement of this action, Stein employed by Defendant in the position of MASM and was unlawfully deprived of wages due and owing to him by Defendant.
- 8. Defendant Home Depot is a Delaware corporation with its principal executive offices located at 2455 Pace Ferry Road, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia. Home Depot is the world's largest home improvement retailer and second largest retailer in the United States. It owns and operates nearly 1,800 retail stores throughout the United States and over 90 stores in the State of New York.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 9. Plaintiffs sue on their own behalf and on behalf of a class of persons consisting of current and former Home Depot employees, employed as MASMs in the State of New York, who were improperly classified as "exempt" employees and deprived of wages by Defendant's failure to pay time-and-a-half for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours per work week.
- 10. The class period for Plaintiffs' claims begins at the earliest on August 25, 1998 and continues through the present and for so long as Defendant's illegal wage practices continue.
 - 11. Plaintiffs are members of the Class they seek to represent.
- 12. The persons in the Class identified above are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Although the precise number of such persons is unknown, facts upon which the calculation of that number can be made are presently within the sole control of Defendant. Upon information and belief, there are hundreds of members of the Class based upon the fact that during the Class Period Home Depot operated over 90 stores throughout the State of New York.

- 13. There are questions of law and fact common to this Class which predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class, including:
- (a) whether Plaintiffs and Class members are non-exempt employees of Home

 Depot;
- (b) whether Home Depot's policy and practice of classifying MASMs as exempt from overtime, and Defendant's policy and practice of failing to pay overtime to MASMs violates applicable New York Labor Law;
- (c) whether Defendant engaged in a continuing policy, pattern or practice of misclassifying MASMs as "exempt" employees, including Plaintiffs and prospective Class Members, to allow Home Depot to require MASMs to perform work in excess of 40-hours per week without compensating the MASMs at time-and-a-half for all hours worked beyond 40 hours; and
 - (d) whether Home Depots' conduct is willful and purposeful.
- 14. The claims of the representative parties are typical of the claims of the Class because Plaintiffs' claims encompass the challenged practices and course of conduct engaged in by Defendant as alleged herein and because Plaintiffs' legal claims arise out of the same course of conduct and are based on the same legal theories as the claims of the Class.
- 15. The representative Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.
- 16. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy particularly in the context of this litigation where no individual employee can justify the commitment of the large financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit against the corporate defendant.

17. The Defendant has acted or has refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 18. Plaintiffs were employed by Defendant as MASMs at various Home Depot stores in New York including the Home Depot stores located in Bast Meadow (Store No. 1201), Elmont (Store No. 1208) and Valley Stream (Store No. 1216).
- 19. During the employment period, Plaintiffs and members of the Class were required to work at least 55-hours per week as a matter of Home Depot's stated policy and MASM job description. Despite being scheduled and required to work a minimum of 55-hours per work week, Plaintiffs and members of the Class were not compensated at time-and-a-half for hours in excess of 40 hours per work week.
- 20. Plaintiffs and members of the Class were identified as "exempt" employees by

 Defendant for purposes of New York Labor Law and paid on a salary basis. However, Plaintiffs
 and members of the Class devoted minimal, if any, time to "exempt" duties. Plaintiffs' primary
 duties consisted of non-customer service related work known as "tasking," none of which
 involved the exercise of authority or management.
- 21. Plaintiffs and members of the Class are not "exempt" employees under applicable law. Plaintiffs had no authority to hire or fire other employees within Home Depot. Nor did Plaintiffs have any input regarding store staffing. Plaintiffs' respective job responsibilities were devoid of any duties pertaining to the management of their respective Home Depot stores.

- 22. Plaintiffs' non-managerial job responsibilities and workload overwhelmed any managerial or strategic duties and, accordingly, MASMs do not constitute an "exempt" class of Home Depot employees.
- 23. The unlawful conduct by Defendant is not inadvertent, de mimimis, isolated or sporadic but widespread, repeated and part of a pattern and practice of conduct affecting all MASMs employed in the State of New York.
- 24. Upon information and belief, other stores operated by Defendant in the State of New York imposed the same policies upon its MASMs.

FIRST CLASS CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Under New York Labor Law For Overtime Compensation)

- 25. The Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all prospective members of the Class, reallege and incorporate by reference each and every paragraph as if they were set forth again herein.
- 26. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs and members of the Class were employed by Defendant within the meaning of New York Labor Law, §§2 and 651.
- 27. Defendant willfully violated Plaintiffs' rights and the rights of the members of the Class, by failing to pay them overtime compensation at rates not less than one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours per work week, in violation of New York Labor Law and its regulations.
- 28. The Defendant's New York Labor Law violations have caused Plaintiffs and the members of the Class irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.
- 29. Due to Defendant's New York Labor Law violations Plaintiffs and the members of the Class are entitled to recover from Defendant their unpaid overtime compensation,

reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law §663(1).

SECOND CLASS CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Quantum Meruit)

- 30. The Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all prospective members of the Class, reallege and incorporate by reference each and every paragraph as if they were set forth again herein.
- 31. Home Depot, at all times material to this Complaint, was aware that Plaintiffs were primarily performing non-managerial duties in excess of 40 hours per week, as Home Depot supervisors directed Plaintiffs to ensure that assigned work was done.
- 32. The hours that Plaintiffs worked in excess of 40 hours per week were at the direction and behest of Home Depot and the benefits thereby conferred on Home Depot were accepted and appreciated by it.
- 33. Home Depot is liable to Plaintiffs and the Class under quantum meruit for all work performed by Plaintiffs for Home Depot in excess of 40 hours.

THIRD CLASS CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Unjust Enrichment)

- 34. The Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all prospective members of the Class, reallege and incorporate by reference each and every paragraph as if they were set forth again herein.
- 35. Plaintiffs and members of the Class have worked for and continue to work in excess of 40 hours per pay period without appropriate compensation from the Defendant. Such work constitutes a benefit conferred on Defendant by Plaintiffs and the Class.
 - 36. The benefit was and is appreciated by the Defendant.

- 37. The benefit was accepted and is being accepted by the Defendant under such circumstances that it would be inequitable for it to be retained without payment.
- 38. Accordingly, Defendant is liable to the Plaintiffs and the Class for wages for all hours worked above 40 hours per work week for which the Defendant has not paid the Plaintiffs and the Class time-and-a-half.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and the Class, respectfully request that this Court grant the following relief:

- A. Certifying this action as a class action pursuant to Article 9 of the CPLR and appointing Plaintiffs and their counsel to represent the Class;
- B. Declare Defendant's conduct complained of herein to be in violation of the Plaintiffs' rights under New York Labor Law;
- C. Award Plaintiffs and the Class their unpaid compensation due under the laws of the State of New York;
 - D. Award Plaintiffs prejudgment and postjudgment interest;
- E. Award Plaintiffs the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees, and such other and further relief as this Court deems necessary and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiffs demand trial by jury as to all issues in the above

matter.

Dated: August 16, 2006

SQUITIERI & FEARON, LLP

Olim**pio Les** Squition Daniel R. Lapinski 32 East 57th Street Squitieri

12th Floor

New York, New York 10022

Tel: 212-421-6492

DEUTSCH ATKINS, P.C.

Neil H. Deutsch

Kathleen Ryder

25 Main Street

Court Plaza North

Hackensack, New Jersey 07601

Tel: 201-498-0900

REITMAN PARSONNET, P.C.

Bennet D. Zurofiky

Joseph S. Fine

744 Broad Street

Newark, New Jersey 07102

Tel: 973-622-8347

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Index No. Year 2006

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGS

JOHN CLOUGHER and DENNIS STEIN, individually and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.,

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

SQUITIERI & FEARON, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
32 East 57th Street
12th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 421-6492

To:

Attorneys for Defendant

Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.

Dated: August 16, 2006

Exhibit C

SQUITIERI & FEARON, LLP

26 SOUTH MAPLE AVENUE

SUITE 202

MARLTON, NEW JERSEY 08053

TEL: (856) 797-4611 FAX: (856) 797-4612 www.sfclasslaw.com

LEE SQUITIERI*
STEPHEN J. FEARON, JR.
DANIEL R. LAPINSEI*
MARIA J. CICCIA**
*ADMITTED TO N.Y. & N.J. BARS
**ADMITTED TO N.Y. & CT BARS

NEW YORK OFFICE 32 EAST 57" STREET 12" FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022 TEL: 212-421-6402 FAX: 212-421-6553

September 12, 2006

Via First Class Mail:

Patrick G. Brady, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney &
Carpenter, LLP
Three Gateway Center
100 Mulberry Street
Newark, New Jersey 07102-4079

Re: Home Depot Overtime Litigation - State class actions

Dear Mr. Brady:

Enclosed herewith please find the following complaints that have been filed against defendant, Home Depot USA, Inc.:

- Heffernan v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc., Case No. 06-3500-BLS (Commonwealth of Massachusetts, County of Suffolk);
- 2. Clougher, et al. v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc., Index No. 06-24693 (Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Kings); and
- 3. Novak v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc., Civil Action No. L-0366-06 (Superior Court of New Jersey, County of Warren).

As per our telephone conversation of September 11, 2006, service of the above referenced complaints shall be deemed completed upon your receipt of this correspondence.

Please contact me if you have questions regarding the enclosed.

Sincerely, .

Daniel R. Laninski

Enclosures

SQUITIERI & FEARON, LLP

cc: Olimpio Lee Squitieri, Esq. (w/o enclosures)

Todd S. Heyman, Esq. (w/o enclosures) Shapiro Haber & Urmy, LLP 53 State Street Boston, MA 02109

Exhibit D

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOHN CLOUGHER and DENNIS STEIN, individually and on benalf of all persons similarly s tuated,

Plaintiffs,

V.

HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.,

De endant.

SUPREME GOURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGS

INDEX NO.: 24693/06

NOTICE OF FILING OF NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO: Olir pio Lee Squitieri, Esq.
Daciel R. Lapinski, Esq.
Squitieri & Fearon, LLP
32 East 57th Street
Nev York, New York 10022

Nei H. Deutsch, Esq.
Kataleen Ryder, Esq.
Deutsch Atkins P.C.
25 Main Street
Court Plaza North
Hackensack, New Jersey 07601

Bennet D. Zurofsky, Esq. Joseph S. Fine, Esq. Reiman Parsonnet, P.C. 744 Broad Street Newark, New Jersey 07102

Attemeys for Plaintiff

PLEASH TAKE NOTICE that Defendant Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. has on this day filed a Notice of Removal, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof, in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern

DRING OCT 10 AM 2: 27

District o 'New York Brooklyn, New York.

Dated: New York, New York October 10, 2006

Patrick G. Brady, Esq. (PB 1114)
Brian J. Carey, Esq. (BC 8041)
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney
& Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.
Wall Street Placa
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 483-9490

and

R. Lawrence Ashe, Jr., Esq. (RA 0430)
Application for Proc Hac Vice
Admissions to be filed
Ashe, Rafuse & Hill, LLP
1355 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 500
Atlanta, GA 30309-3232